# EXHIBIT 1

#### Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 1

1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 SAN ANTONIO DIVISION LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO ) 3 et al., 4 Plaintiffs, ) Civil Action No. SA-21-cv-5 00844-XR ٧. ) (Consolidated Cases) STATE OF TEXAS, et al., 6 Defendants. 7 8 9 10 ORAL DEPOSITION OF 11 **KEITH INGRAM** APRIL 26, 2022 Volume 1 12 13 14 15 16 ORAL DEPOSITION OF KEITH INGRAM produced 17 as a witness at the instance of Plaintiff, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause 18 on the 26th day of April, 2022 from 9:18 a.m. to 2:19 19 20 p.m. before Nancy Newhouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by oral 21 22 shorthand, located at Price Daniel Sr. State Office 23 Building, 209 West 14th Street, Austin, Texas 78701, 24 pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the 25 provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

Entero v Texas 5:21-cv-844 (XR)

Page: 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 157 157

language of, you know, conveniently near versus near
enough to see and hear, because substantively didn't
make a change. Free movement hasn't, it doesn't add
anything, that's just making explicit what was implicit
before.

Q. Let's stay with Exhibit 19, if we could, please, and look at Section 4.09, which is on Page 29?

And sir, just let me know, if you could,

#### A. Okay.

when you've arrived?

Q. Beginning with Line 8 on Page 29 of Exhibit 19, 4.09(a) says, and amends 33.061(a) to make it an offense to take any action to obstruct the view of a watcher, or distance the watcher from the activity or procedure to be observed in a manner that would make observation not reasonably effective, do you see that?

#### A. I do.

Q. And we've talked about this a little bit already, do you have an understanding of the activities that would obstruct the view of a watcher, as that term is used in this section?

A. You know, it could be as -- as much as just standing between the watcher and the activity being viewed, it could be making them stay in a designated area, I mean, it could be a lot of things.

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 158 158

1	Q. Does your office have an idea or
2	interpretation of the law as to what would make, quote,
3	"observation not reasonably effective," closed quote?
4	MR. JEFFREY WHITE: Objection, form.
5	A. No. That's going to be up to the poll watcher
6	to to alert somebody that their ability to
7	effectively observe has been deteriorated in some way.
8	Q. (BY MR. KANTERMAN) And again, I assume that
9	the ultimate determination of whether or not that report
10	from the poll watcher is, in fact, the correct or
11	incorrect position will lie with the local official, is
12	that fair?
13	A. The presiding judge of whatever location
14	they're at.
15	Q. And does the Secretary of State's Office have
16	any opinion as to the definition of the term reasonable
17	effectiveness?
18	A. No. No. And again, this doesn't change the
19	law. They they've always had the ability to
20	effectively observe whatever activity they want to
21	observe, and they've always had the ability to holler
22	obstruction if they believe they're being obstructed.
23	Q. If an election judge were to ask an unruly
24	poll watcher to stand 10 feet back from voting booths,
25	in the Secretary of State's Office's view, could that

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 159 159

1	person	be charged with a crime?
2	A.	That person being who?
3	Q.	I should clarify, thank you.
4		If the election judge asks a poll watcher
5	to stan	d 10 feet back from voting booths, could the
6	electio	n judge be charged with a crime in your office's
7	view?	
8	Α.	Well, I don't know, maybe. It just depends.
9	I mea	n, poll watchers don't have the right to go to poll
10	to v	oting booths, so being a clear distance marker,
11	you kr	now, other than whenever a voter is being assisted
12	by ele	ction workers at the polling place, it's probably
13	not a	bad idea. But if the poll watcher thinks that
14	their a	bility to stand conveniently near or near enough
15	to see	and hear is being impeded by this, then they've
16	got th	e right to complain about it.
17	Q.	And again, ultimately, the determination of
18	who is	in the right in that position lies with the local
19	officials	s, correct?
20	A.	Well, you say ultimately, but that that
21	really	means in the first instance. Right? So the
22	presid	ing judge decides a poll worker (sic) is getting
23	too clo	ose to voters and harassing them, and the poll
24	watch	er obviously doesn't agree with that, but the poll
25	watch	er gets warned, the poll watcher keeps doing it,

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 160 160

- 1	
1	the presiding judge kicks them out, there is a criminal
2	complaint. The grand jury is going to be the ultimate
3	decider of whether or not, and then the jury if it
4	actually goes to a trial.
5	I mean, it's a criminal activity if you
6	if you obstruct a poll watcher, so just because a
7	presiding judge gets to make a determination in the
8	first instance, doesn't mean that that's not going to
9	get reviewed.
10	Q. Does the Secretary of State's Office have a
11	view as to specific conduct that 4.09 prohibits?
12	MR. JEFFREY WHITE: Objection, form.
13	A. No. I mean, it's just it's just trying to
14	put some gloss on what obstructing a poll watcher means,
15	so they're saying obstructing the view or the distance
16	so that it can't be reasonably observed or reasonably
17	effectively observed. I mean, that just puts some gloss
18	on what we already know, that it's a crime to obstruct a
19	poll watcher.
20	Q. (BY MR. KANTERMAN) And what materials, if
21	any, has your office made available, available or
22	published, relating to Section 4.09's amendment to the
23	law?
24	A. The the the same series of materials
25	that we talked about before, the all the poll watcher

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 182

182

1	it, the determination as to whether or not a caregiver
2	or attendant is being paid for giving voting assistance
3	or some other type of assistance?
4	A. It would be up to the DA to to prosecute
5	this section, we don't prosecute.
6	Q. And you don't have, sitting here, any opinion
7	as to how that discretion should be used?
8	MR. JEFFERY WHITE: Objection, form.
9	A. I know my only context for this, as I said
10	before, is when I get an election law complaint, right,
11	and somebody alleges that this paid attendant for a
12	voter took them to the voting booth and assisted them in
13	voting and that's violation of compensation. I would
14	say no crime, no referral.
15	Q. (BY MR. KANTERMAN) What trains information or
16	guidance has your office published regarding Section
17	6.06s amendment?
18	A. None. This is this is not about running
19	elections.
20	Q. Staying with Exhibit 19, please, let's turn to
21	Section 7.04, which I believe is on page 58, the last
22	two lines of page 58. Let me know when you're there,
23	please.
24	A. I'm there.
25	Q. 7.04 defines vote harvesting services to mean

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 183

183

1	in person interaction with one or more voters in the		
2	physical presence of an official ballot or a ballot		
3	voted b	by mail intended to deliver votes for a specific	
4	candida	ate or measure, do you see that?	
5	A.	I do.	
6	Q.	And we've touched on this briefly. For	
7	purpos	es of this section, what is The Secretary of	
8	State's	position as to what an in person interaction is?	
9	A.	Same as what we talked about before with	
10	regard	to mail in ballot assistance?	
11	Q.	And nothing beyond your earlier definition, is	
12	that co	rrect?	
13	A.	That's right.	
14	Q.	What constitutes physical presence of an	
15	official	ballot?	
16	A.	Physical presence of an official ballot?	
17	Q.	And what does that mean, sir?	
18	A.	It means a ballot is physically present with	
19	the pe	ople who are talking.	
20	Q.	I guess I'm looking for more clarity on what	
21	physica	ally present as related to a ballot mean?	
22		MR. JEFFERY WHITE: Objection form.	
23	A.	Again, you understand our office is not a	
24	prosec	cutor. We're never going to enforce this language.	
25	We do	n't interpret this language, this is not us. So	

Page: 183

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 184 184

1	I'm just a civil lawyer tort lawyer mainly, and you
2	want me to talk about what a criminal standard is, I got
3	nothing.
4	Q. (BY MR. KANTERMAN) So you say you'll never
5	enforce this provision. Does that mean you will never
6	refer any complaints regarding physical presence of an
7	official ballot to the agent office for prosecutor?
8	MR. JEFFERY WHITE: Object to form.
9	A. If we get a complaint with regard vote
10	harvesting, I'll look at this and see if I think there's
11	a reasonable cause to suspect a crime has occurred, and
12	I might refer to the attorney general, if I think it
13	does. That's the limit of our involvement. And our
14	agency does not express opinions about any of this
15	stuff, because this is not ours to express an opinion
16	about.
17	Q. (BY MR. KANTERMAN) And so as your office
18	would look, let me ask a different question.
19	A. I could send something over to Jonathan White,
20	and Jonathan White would say, let's keep smoking,
21	dismissed, right? I mean, I don't have any control over
22	the prosecution; do you know what I'm saying? This
23	isn't we don't do this.
24	Q. And so sitting here today, you don't have a
25	precise definition of what "physical presence" means as

4/26/2022 National Court Reporters Inc. 888.800.9656 Page: 184

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 185 185

1	used in this section, correct?	
2	A. I have a layperson's understanding of	
3	what I think it means, yeah.	
4	Q. And I'm not asking for your layperson	
5	understanding, I'm asking for the Secretary of State's	
6	Office	
7	A. The Secretary of State doesn't have position	
8	on that.	
9	Q. So I just want to be very clear with the	
10	record. The Secretary of State's office does not have a	
11	position on what the phrase "physical presence of an	
12	official ballot" means as used in Section 7.04 of SB 1,	
13	correct?	
14	A. Other than Keith Ingram's lay opinion, as he	
15	reads a complaint and decides whether or not to refer to	
16	the attorney general?	
17	Q. And we can get Mr. Ingram's lay opinion of	
18	that at some later time, but that was not my question.	
19	My question was whether or not the Secretary of State of	
20	well, my question was based on your testimony, isn't	
21	it true, the Secretary of State's office does not have a	
22	position as to what the definition of "physical presence	
23	of an official ballot" means, as that term is used	
24	within Section 7.04 of SB 1?	
25	A. Do you understand the Secretary of State's	

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 190 190

1	A.	Yes, certainly.		
2	Q.	(BY MR. KANTERMAN) And so the canvasser, in		
3	your o	your opinion, has not violated section 7.04?		
4	A.	Or, as I would say it, I would have no		
5	reaso	nable cause to suspect that a crime has occurred		
6	and I	would not make that a referral to the Attorney		
7	Gener	al's Office.		
8	Q.	Assume the same facts, please, and modify them		
9	so that	the resident grabs their ballot, brings it to		
10	the fro	nt door and has a conversation with the canvasser		
11	about	the measure but they now have the ballot in hand,		
12	do you	understand that modification?		
13	Α.	Sure.		
14	Q.	Under that scenario, if the conversation		
15	betwee	en the canvasser and the voter continues, has the		
16	canvas	ser violated section 7.04?		
17	A.	I don't think so.		
18	Q.	And what is your basis for that opinion?		
19	A.	Because he didn't cause the ballot to be		
20	broug	ht in front of him and it wasn't their I mean,		
21	the in	tent is, is to deliver votes against the measure,		
22	I get t	hat. But that wasn't he's not trying to trick		
23	the vo	ter, I mean, the voter brought the ballot. I		
24	don't	know why, just look at the ballot language, I		
25	guess	. If I was the canvasser, I would say please put		

1

6

7

8

9

#### Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 191 191

	that away,	it makes me	uncomfortable.
--	------------	-------------	----------------

- Q. But even if the canvasser does not ask the
- voter to put the ballot away, in your view, the
- 4 Secretary of State's office's view, they -- that
- canvasser would not have violated section 7.04, correct?
  - BY MR. JEFFERY WHITE: Objection, form.
    - A. I'm not going to agree to that.
    - Q. (BY MR. KANTERMAN) Why not?
  - A. Because that Secretary of State doesn't have a
- view. I would look at the facts presented that --
- probably I would look at that and say I don't have
- enough facts. And I would send it back to the person
- who complained and say, tell me more.
- Q. Staying with this same section of SB 1, what
- does the phrase in the Secretary of State's offices view
- "intended to deliver" votes mean?
- 17 A. That means, I mean, the whole point of vote
- harvesting is that a vote harvester promises a candidate
- or the proponent of a measure, I'll deliver you 5000
- votes, right. They promised they make a commitment. If
- 21 you pay me, I will guarantee you a certain number of
- 22 votes. And that's what that means intended to deliver
- votes. I've got a commitment to a candidate or I've got
- a commitment to the proponents of measure to deliver on
- votes or deliver votes against them.

tional Court Reporters Inc. 888.800.9656 Page: 191

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 204 204

1	registered voters is the highest in 28 years as Texans		
2	exercise their right to vote", do you see that?		
3	A.	I do.	
4	Q.	And so pointing you towards the statement	
5	"resou	nding success" in that paragraph, do you see it	
6	now?		
7	A.	I did see it before but I didn't see primary	
8	before	e.	
9	Q.	Okay. So let me	
10	A.	And I still don't.	
11	Q.	Let me withdraw my comment about it being	
12	related to the primary.		
13		What election is this comment issued in	
14	response to?		
15	A.	The General Election in November of 2020?	
16	Q.	Okay. Following the general election in	
17	Novem	ber of 2020, then Secretary Hughs issued this	
18	statem	ent that is Exhibit 22, correct?	
19	A.	I agree with that.	
20	Q.	And Secretary Hughs called the November 2020	
21	election a "resounding success", is that correct?		
22	Α.	I agree with that.	
23	Q.	Do you know what Secretary Hughs' basis in	
24	making	g that statement was?	
25	A.	Sure. She says.	

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 213

+/ 2	3/2022		213
1	Q.	Where else can I	
2	A.	This was a collaborative effort that	
3	we wo	orked on together. There's no reason to bother	
4	Secre	tary Hughs about this. I have told you what we	
5	were	thinking?	
6	Q.	You say we were thinking? Who do you mean we?	
7	A.	Secretary Hughs and I. We wanted to	
8	congr	atulate the counties for pulling off this kind of	
9	election	on with this kind of turnout under these	
10	condit	tions.	
11		MR. KANTERMAN: I'll make clear for the	
12	record	my objection that I don't think the question has	
13	been s	sufficiently answered, I will reserve our right to	
14	seek fu	urther clarification on it if the time presents.	
15	Q.	(BY MR. KANTERMAN) Sir, staying with Exhibit	
16	22, if I	I may, please. Secretary Hughs also says that it	
17	was a	"safe, free and fair election", is that right?	
18	A.	That's what she says.	
19	Q.	And do you know the basis for that statement?	
20	A.	Sure. That we didn't have, I mean, here we	
21	are a	month later, we didn't have any evidence that it	
22	was a	super spreader event of any sort. We had one	
23	report	t of possible COVID transmission to one poll worker	

and fair elections in Texas.

in Travis County. And of course, we always have free

Page: 213

4/26/2022

24

25

# Entero v Texas NATIONAL COURT REPORTERS INC 888.800.9656

Keith Ingram 216

216

1	time it's been?
2	MR KANTERMAN: Yes. Can we go off the
3	record?
4	MR. JEFFERY WHITE: Sure.
5	MR. KANTERMAN: Off the record, please.
6	COURT REPORTER: Off the record at 2:18
7	p.m.
8	(Briefly off the record.)
9	COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record
10	at 2:19 p.m.
11	MR. KANTERMAN: I just wanted to get for
12	the record that we're about four hours, nine minutes in
13	and that we're you're holding this open for
14	continuation on May 6.
15	MR. JEFFERY WHITE: That is correct.
16	Thank you, sir. Now we can go off the record.
17	COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at
18	2:19 p.m.
19	(Deposition concluded at 2:19 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	